

OC16-CE80: A Chinese-English Mixlingual Database and A Speech Recognition Baseline

Dong Wang*, Zhiyuan Tang*, Difei Tang† and Qing Chen†

* CSLT, Tsinghua University

E-mail: wangdong99@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn, tangzy@cslt.riit.tsinghua.edu.cn

† SpeechOcean

E-mail: {tangdifei, chenqing}@speechocean.com

Abstract—We present the OC16-CE80 Chinese-English mixlingual speech database which was released as a main resource for training, development and test for the Chinese-English mixlingual speech recognition (MixASR-CHEN) challenge on O-COCOSDA 2016. This database consists of 80 hours of speech signals recorded from more than 1,400 speakers, where the utterances are in Chinese but each involves one or several English words. Based on the database and another two free data resources (THCHS30 and the CMU dictionary), a speech recognition (ASR) baseline was constructed with the deep neural network-hidden Markov model (DNN-HMM) hybrid system. We then report the baseline results following the MixASR-CHEN evaluation rules and demonstrate that OC16-CE80 is a reasonable data resource for mixlingual research.

Index Terms—code-switching, mixlingual database, speech recognition

I. INTRODUCTION

Language is a fundamental capability of human beings. In history, language in a particular area was fairly stable at most of time, which means that the evolution of language was noticeable but rather slow. This steady evolution in history can be largely attributed to the limited inter-area or inter-nation cultural and business interaction.

This situation has been fundamentally changed since the last century. The international business developed so rapidly that bilateral trading quickly evolved into global trading, and national markets have become unseparated parts of the global market. Especially in the latest twenty years, the development of the Internet lead to much more efficient information exchange and glues the entire earth together. This leads to quick and remarkable change in our daily life, particularly the trend of internationalism, globalization and interculturalism [1].

An interesting consequence of this change in human language is the bilingual or multilingual phenomenon. During the international interaction, different languages meet and influence each other, leads to interesting acoustic and linguistic phenomena. It can be simply the popularity of some foreign words, but be more as profound as the adoption of a foreign language as an official one [2], [3], [4]. The mutual influence among languages is universal in modern society, e.g., the influence of Mandarin to other minor languages in China, and the influence of English to other languages in the world. The complex acoustic and linguistic patterns of languages

in multilingual conditions have attracted much interest in a multitude of research areas, including comparative phonetics, evolutionary linguistics, language development and sociolinguistics.

Perhaps the most commonly encountered multilingual phenomenon is mixlingual embedding, i.e., the phenomenon that one or words from other languages (called the secondary language or embedding language) embedded in the sentences of the main language (called the primary language or matrix language). This mixlingual problem is also called ‘code-switching’, as it involves the change from one language to another. This may take place at various levels including sentence, phrase, or word [5], [6], [7], [8]. For example, the following sentence involves a word-level code-switching, where an English word “Google” in the main Chinese word sequence: “我想去Google怎么走?”.

To promote research on mixlingual speech processing, the center for speech and language technologies (CSLT) at Tsinghua University and SpeechOcean (Beijing Haitian Ruisheng Science Technology Ltd.) together organized a Chinese-English mixlingual speech recognition (MixASR-CHEN) challenge on O-COCOSDA 2016. This event called for a competition on a speech recognition task on mixlingual utterances where one or several English words are embedded in Chinese utterances. To support this event, SpeechOcean released a mixlingual speech database named ‘OC16-CE80’ that consists of 80 hours of speech signals. This database is free for the participants of the challenge, and can be used together with another free data resources (THCHS30 Chinese speech database and CMU English dictionary) to build a full-fledged mixlingual speech recognition system. This paper will present the data profile of the database, the evaluation rules of the challenge, and a mixlingual ASR baseline system that the participants can refer to.

Note that there are several other mixlingual databases for different code-switching, e.g. Cantonese-English, English-Mandarin, Mandarin-Taiwanese and Mandarin-English [9], [10], [11], [12], [13]. The OC16-CE80 database is similar to the SEAME database [14] as both are for Mandarin-English code switching, but the speakers of SEAME are from Singaporean and Malaysian, whereas the speakers of OC16-CE80 are totally from the China mainland. Due to the less

popularity of spoken English in China compared to Singapore and Malaysia, the code switching might be less fluent. Finally, OC16-CE80 consists of 80 hours of speech signals from nearly 1500 speakers, which is larger than SEAME (157 speakers, 63 hours of signals).

II. DATABASE PROFILE

The OC16-CE80 database was originally created by SpeechOcean, targeting for various mixlingual speech processing tasks (mainly ASR). The entire database involves about 80 hours of speech signals, which were recorded by mobile phones in reading style, with a sampling rate of 16 kHz and a sample size of 16 bits. The text of the utterances was selected from a large text corpus, following a greedy search strategy that chose the sentence that can improve the phone coverage mostly one by one. The entire database was separated into a training set, a development set and a test set. More details of the OC16-CE80 database is presented in Table I.

TABLE I
OC16-CE80 DATA PROFILE

Database	Language	Channel	Utterances/Speaker
OC16-CE80	Chinese/English	Mobile	50
Datasets	No. of Speakers	Total Utterances	Recording Hours
Training set	1163	58132	63.8
Dev. set	140	6974	7.76
Test set	142	7099	7.93

Chinese refers to standard Mandarin.

Male and Female are mostly balanced.

There might be a minor discrepancy with the numbers of total utterances.

Besides the speech signals, the OC16-CE80 database also provides the human-labeled transcriptions for all the recordings of the training set and the development set. A simple lexicon is also provided to cover most of the commonly used Chinese characters and the English words appearing in the training and development utterances. The number of Chinese characters involved in the lexicon is 4,653, with the pronunciations labelled in tonal Pinyin, e.g., ‘Shang4’. The number of English words involved in the lexicon is 6,879, with the pronunciations excerpted from the CMU dictionary¹.

The OC16-CE80 database is freely available for all the participants of the MixASR-CHEN challenge and the O-COCOSDA 2016 special session on *Mixlingual Speech Processing*. It is also available for other academic and industrial institutes or individual users, subject to a slightly different licence from SpeechOcean.²

III. OC16-MIXASR-CHEN CHALLENGE

Based on the OC16-CE80 database, we call a Chinese-English mixlingual speech recognition (MixASR-CHEN) challenge.³ The task of the challenge is to train a mixlingual ASR system using the given resources, and then transcribe the test

speech to text using the system within limited time (48 hours). The performance is measured by word error rate (WER). The evaluation details are described as follows.

A. Development resources

Three data resources are allowed to utilize in the MixASR-CHEN challenge for system development, as shown below:

- OC16-CE80, as described in the previous section. This database contributes the main speech data for acoustic model training. The transcriptions of the training data are also a good resource for language model training. These transcriptions are not only domain-specific, but also covers the phenomenon of code-switching.
- THCHS30 [15], a pure Chinese speech database provided by CSLT at Tsinghua University, free and online downloadable⁴. This database involves a full set of resources that can be used to develop a full-fledged Chinese ASR system, including speech signals, transcriptions, a large lexicon, the associated language model. All the resources of THCHS30 can be used in the challenge, but perhaps the most important ones are the lexicon and the language model.
- CMU English dictionary v0.7b⁵, a free downloadable resource that can be used to enrich English words in the lexicon.

According to the challenge rule, the above three data resources are the only materials that can be used to develop the recognition system. Any additional resources are not allowed, including speech, text, lexicon, and supervision from other systems. However, participants can use their own phone set, in particular for Chinese words. This is because Chinese pronunciations can be described by either Initial-Finals (IF) or phones, and neither is more standard. The Chinese lexicon provided by THCHS30 is based on IFs, but participants can freely translate them to phones.

B. Test plan

The MixASR-CHEN challenge chooses WER as the principle evaluation metric. Note that for Chinese, we regard each single Chinese character as a word, which means that the WER on the Chinese part is essentially the character error rate (CER). Using WER makes the presentation more clear when the transcriptions involve both Chinese and English words.

The WER is computed as follows:

$$WER = \frac{S + D + I}{N},$$

where N is the total number of characters in the ground-truth transcription, and S , D , I denote the number of substitutions, deletions, insertions errors, respectively. These errors are computed from the forced alignment between the hypothesized transcriptions and the ground truth.

Note that different participants may treat English words in different ways. For a fair evaluation, some normalization is

¹<http://svn.code.sf.net/p/cmuspinx/code/trunk/cmudict/>

²<http://speechocean.com>

³<http://csllt.riit.tsinghua.edu.cn/mediawiki/index.php/ASR-events-OC16-details>

⁴<http://www.openslr.org/18/>

⁵<http://svn.code.sf.net/p/cmuspinx/code/trunk/cmudict/cmudict-0.7b>

performed before the submitted hypothesis is evaluated. We first merge single letters in upper case to a single word, e.g., ‘I B M’ to ‘IBM’, and then convert all English words to the upper case.

The challenge reports individual WERs on the Chinese part and English part of the hypothesis respectively, and the WER on the entire hypothesis. Although the main metric is the entire WER, the WER on the English part is also or more important.

IV. BASELINE RESULTS

We present a baseline MixASR system based on the deep neural network-hidden Markov model (DNN-HMM) hybrid architecture. The experiments were conducted with the Kaldi toolkit [16]. The purpose of these experiments is not to present a competitive submission, but to demonstrate that the OC16-CE80 database is a reasonable data resource to conduct mixlingual speech recognition research.

A. Experimental setup

The speech data used to train the acoustic model were from the OC16-CE80 database and it contained both training set and development set. We refrained from using THCHS30 because our focus was to test quality of the new OC16-CE80 database, and involving additional data may lead to a biased evaluation. The lexicon used for the baseline system involved two parts: the Chinese part was from the THCHS30 database, and the English part was from the CMU English dictionary v0.7b. The phones of Chinese words and English words were collected, forming a mixlingual phone set. As a baseline system, we didn’t try to merge phones of different languages.

The acoustic model (AM) of the ASR system was built largely following the Kaldi WSJ s5 nnet3 recipe. The training started from building an initial Gaussian mixture model-hidden Markov model (GMM-HMM) system, using Mel frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC) as the feature. The initial system involved 4,483 HMM states and 3,500 Gaussian Mixture components (pdfs). This system was employed to produce forced alignment for the training data, which was used to train the DNN-HMM model.

The DNN model we used was the time delay neural network (TDNN) [17]. It contained 6 hidden layers, and the activation function was p-norm [18]. The input dimension of the p-norm function was 2,000 and the output dimension was 250. The natural stochastic gradient descent (NSGD) algorithm was employed to train the TDNN [19]. The input feature involved 40-dimensional Fbanks, with a symmetric 4-frame window to splice neighboring frames. The output layer consisted of 3,539 units, equal to the total number of Gaussian components in the GMM model that was trained to bootstrap the TDNN model.

As for the language model (LM), we used the conventional 3-grams. To deal with the mixlingual difficulty, four LM configurations were investigated. The first one (THLM) is the LM offered by the THCHS30 database. This LM well matched the lexicon of the baseline system, as the Chinese words in the lexicon were just from THCHS30. The problem of this LM is that it involves no English words so it can not handle

English at all. The second LM (OCLM) was trained from the transcriptions of the training and development data of OC16-CE80. This LM matches the test domain and involves English words, however it may suffer from data sparsity. The third LM (MIX) is a mixture of the above two LMs by a linear interpolation, where the validation set used to optimize the interpolation factor was 2,000 sentences selected from the transcriptions of the OC16-CE80 database. In our experiment, we found the interpolation factor for the THLM was nearly 0.0, suggesting that it does not fit the domain of the OC16-CE80 database. The fourth LM (JOIN) was trained with all the transcriptions of THCHS30 and OC16-CE80. Note that the baseline lexicon was used when training the OCLM and the JOIN LM, which means that all the words in the lexicon were consisted in the these LMs, although the words absent from the training text data obtain only a small probability, depending on the KN smooth we used in the experiment.

B. Performance results

Table II presents the baseline results on the test set, where ‘THLM’, ‘OCLM’, ‘MIX’, ‘JOIN’ represent the four LM configurations presented in the previous section. From the results, we observe that the MIX, which was a mixture of THLM and OCLM and had a high bias on THLM, works the best on both Chinese and English words. The THCHS30 LM is not much suitable for the OC16-CE80 test: it leads to a high WER on Chinese characters, and 100% WER on English. Besides, the results of OCLM are not far from those of MIX. From the above, we know that merging THCHS30 can offer a bit benefit on the LM level. And the results with the domain-matched LM (OCLM) confirm that a reasonable multilingual ASR system can be established with the OC16-CE80 database, using the standard modeling approach. The only problem is that the WER on English words is still high, which is more clear when compared to the performance on Chinese words. Nevertheless, the baseline results demonstrated that the OC16-CE80 database is a reasonable resource for research on mixlingual speech recognition. Several methods can be simply employed to promote English words, e.g., cross-lingual phone/word sharing in the AM and LM. The aim of the baseline system is to offer a ‘basic’ reference for the participants and so we didn’t consider these advanced tricks.

TABLE II
OC16 MIXASR-CHEN BASELINE RESULTS

LM	WRE%		
	Chinese	English	Overall
THLM	48.38	100.00	46.33
OCLM	19.09	43.72	20.21
MIX	19.00	43.67	20.09
JOIN	19.30	43.86	20.37

In order to gain more insight into the difficulties with mixlingual data, we look into the three different types of errors with the system using the MIX LM. The results are

TABLE III
OC16 MIXASR-CHEN BASELINE RESULTS

Error type	Error%		
	Chinese	English	Overall
Substitution	12.92	22.11	15.32
Deletion	2.88	16.59	2.67
Insertion	3.20	4.98	2.11

showed in Table III. Firstly it can be seen that substitution errors take the largest proportion, as expected. Secondly we observe that both the substitution and deletion errors are much more significant for English than for Chinese. To have a better understanding, we tuned the LM weight and word insertion penalty to balance the insertion and deletion errors, and found that when the performance on Chinese words improved, the performance on English words was decreased, and vice versa. Further analysis on the resultant transcriptions showed that most of the substitution errors on English words occur because some they are recognized as some Chinese words with similar pronunciations.

These observations suggest that the high word error rate on English words can be attributed to the competition between the two languages. Firstly, the English phones in the AM are generally weak, which may result in substitution of English phones by either the silence phone or the Chinese phones. The former leads to deletion errors, and the later leads to substitutions. Secondly, the probabilities of English words in the LM are much weaker than those of Chinese words, in particular the transition probabilities between English words and Chinese words. This encourages the decoding remain in pure Chinese word sequence, and whenever an English word is encountered, it tends to be skipped over or substituted by a Chinese word, therefore leading to deletion errors and substitution errors, respectively. This suggests that a key challenge in mixlingual ASR is how to deal with the competition among languages, with respect to both phone posteriors and LM paths.

V. CONCLUSION

We presented the data profile of the OC16-CE80 Chinese-English mixlingual speech database that was released to support the MixASR-CHEN challenge on O-COCOSDA 2016. The evaluation rules of the challenge were described, and a baseline system was presented. We show that the OC16-CE80 database is a suitable data resource for mixlingual speech recognition research.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Baker, *Foundations of bilingual education and bilingualism*. Multilingual Matters, 2011, vol. 79.
- [2] Y. Matras, *Language contact*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [3] R. Hickey, *The handbook of language contact*. John Wiley and Sons, 2010.
- [4] P. Bakker and Y. Matras, *Contact languages: A comprehensive guide*. Walter de Gruyter, 2013, vol. 6.
- [5] R. R. Heredia and J. Altarriba, "Bilingual language mixing: Why do bilinguals code-switch?" *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 164–168, 2001.
- [6] S. Poplack, "Code-switching (linguistic)," *International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral sciences*, pp. 2062–2065, 2001.
- [7] A. J. Toribio and B. E. Bullock, *The Cambridge handbook of linguistic code-switching*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [8] P. Auer, *Code-switching in conversation: Language, interaction and identity*. Routledge, 2013.
- [9] J. Y. Chan, P. Ching, and T. Lee, "Development of a cantonese-english code-mixing speech corpus," in *Proceedings of Ninth European Conference on Speech Communication and Technology*, 2005.
- [10] D.-C. Lyu, R.-Y. Lyu, Y.-c. Chiang, and C.-N. Hsu, "Speech recognition on code-switching among the chinese dialects," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, vol. 1. IEEE, 2006.
- [11] C.-H. Wu, Y.-H. Chiu, C.-J. Shia, and C.-Y. Lin, "Automatic segmentation and identification of mixed-language speech using delta-bic and lsa-based gmms," *IEEE Transactions on audio, speech, and language processing*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 266–276, 2006.
- [12] D.-C. Lyu and R.-Y. Lyu, "Language identification on code-switching utterances using multiple cues," in *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of International Speech Communication Association (INTERSPEECH)*, 2008, pp. 711–714.
- [13] D.-C. Lyu, T.-P. Tan, E.-S. Chng, and H. Li, "An analysis of a Mandarin English code-switching speech corpus: Seame," *Age*, vol. 21, pp. 25–8, 2010.
- [14] D. C. Lyu, T. P. Tan, E. S. Chng, and H. Li, "Mandarin-English code-switching speech corpus in south-east asia: Seame," *Language Resources and Evaluation*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 581–600, 2015.
- [15] D. Wang and X. Zhang, "Thchs-30: A free chinese speech corpus," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.01882*, 2015.
- [16] D. Povey, A. Ghoshal, G. Boulianne, L. Burget, O. Glembek, N. Goel, M. Hannemann, P. Motlicek, Y. Qian, and P. Schwarz, "The kaldi speech recognition toolkit," in *Proceedings of IEEE workshop on automatic speech recognition and understanding*. IEEE Signal Processing Society, 2011.
- [17] V. Peddinti, D. Povey, and S. Khudanpur, "A time delay neural network architecture for efficient modeling of long temporal contexts," in *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of International Speech Communication Association (INTERSPEECH)*. ISCA, 2015, pp. 2440–2444.
- [18] X. Zhang, J. Trmal, D. Povey, and S. Khudanpur, "Improving deep neural network acoustic models using generalized maxout networks," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2014, pp. 215–219.
- [19] D. Povey, X. Zhang, and S. Khudanpur, "Parallel training of deep neural networks with natural gradient and parameter averaging," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.7455*, 2014.